1	(Stipulating parties listed on signature page)				
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
4	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
5					
6					
7	IN RE CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST LITIGATION,	No. 07-cv-5944-SC MDL No. 1917			
9	This Document Relates to:	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE DEADLINE TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO			
10 11	Electrograph Systems, Inc. et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05724;	CERTAIN DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINTS			
12	Alfred H. Siegel, as Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust v.	Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti			
13	Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;				
14 15	Best Buy Co., Inc., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264;				
16 17	Interbond Corporation of America v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05727;				
18	Office Depot, Inc. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05726;				
19 20	Costco Wholesale Corporation v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05723;				
21 22	P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation, et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 31:cv-05725;				
23	Schultze Agency Services, LLC, o/b/o				
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Tweeter Opco, LLC, et al. v. Technicolor SA, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-05668;				
26	Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 3:13-cv-05262;				
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>	Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05686				

1	Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et. al., No. 13-cv-01173		
2	Lia., et. at., 140. 13-cv-01173		
3	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Electrograph Systems, Inc.; Electrograp		
4	Technologies, Corp.; Alfred H. Siegel (as trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidatin		
5	Trust); Best Buy Co., Inc.; Best Buy Purchasing LLC; Best Buy Enterprise Services, Inc.; Best		
6	Buy Stores, L.P.; BestBuy.com, L.L.C.; Magnolia Hi-Fi, Inc.; Interbond Corporation of America		
7	Office Depot, Inc.; Costco Wholesale Corporation; P.C. Richard & Son Long Island Corporation		
8	ABC Appliance, Inc.; MARTA Cooperative of America, Inc.; Schultze Agency Services, LLC		
9	(on behalf of Tweeter Opco, LLC, and Tweeter Newco, LLC); Sears Roebuck and Co. and Kma		
10	Corp.; and Target Corp. (collectively "the DAPs"); Sharp Electronics Corporation and Shar		
11	Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. (collectively, "Sharp"); and Defendant		
12	Technologies Displays Americas, LLC ("TDA") have conferred by and through their counse		
13	and, subject to the Court's approval, HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
14	WHEREAS, there is pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District		
15	of California a multidistrict consolidated proceeding comprised of actions brought on behalf		
16	purported purchasers of cathode ray tubes ("CRT") and CRT products, captioned as In re		
17	Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation, Case No. 3:07-cv-05944 SC (MDL No. 1917) (th		
18	"MDL Proceedings");		
19	WHEREAS, the DAPs have filed Complaints or Amended Complaints, which are now		
20	pending in this MDL, naming TDA as a Defendant;		
21	WHEREAS, Sharp has filed a First Amended Complaint, now pending in this MDL,		
22	naming TDA as a Defendant;		
23	WHEREAS, on December 9, 2013, TDA filed a Notice of Motion and Motion to Dismiss		
24	Sharp's First Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 2234);		
25	WHEREAS, on March 5, 2014, the DAPs each served copies of Summonses, Complaint		
26	and related documents on TDA via personal service of TDA's authorized agent;		
27	///		
28	///		
	STIPLILATION AND I <del>PROPOSEDI</del> ORDER RE		

## 

1	WHEREAS, on March 13, 2014, the Court issued an Order granting in part and denying				
2	in part TDA's Motion to Dismiss Sharp's First Amended Complaint, dismissing certain state-law				
3	claims, but denying the motions with respect to the federal and New York claims (Dkt.				
4	No. 2438);				
5	WHEREAS, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12a(1)(A)(i) required TDA to answer or				
6	otherwise respond to the DAPs' Complaints or Amended Complaints by March 26, 2014;				
7	WHEREAS, pursuant to the March 27, 2014 Stipulation (Dkt. No. 2489) between TDA				
8	and Sharp, and the Court's March 28, 2014 Order granting that stipulation (Dkt. No. 2509), TDA				
9	is required to answer Sharp's First Amended Complaint by April 25, 2014;				
10	WHEREAS, on April 11, 2014, the Court issued an order granting a stipulation providing				
11	for TDA and others to answer the DAPs' Complaints or First Amended Complaints by April 25,				
12	2014 (Dkt. No. 2532);				
13	WHEREAS, TDA, the DAPs and Sharp have conferred and agreed to an extension of time				
14	until May 9, 2014, for TDA to answer the DAPs' Complaints or First Amended Complaints and				
15	Sharp's First Amended Complaint;				
16	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED between counse				
17	as follows:				
18	1. TDA shall answer or otherwise respond to the DAPs' Complaints or First				
19	Amended Complaints by May 9, 2014.				
20	2. TDA shall answer Sharp's First Amended Complaint by May 9, 2014.				
21	D . 1 . 4 . 11.05 . 2014	COLUDE C	ANDERGLID		
22	Dated: April 25, 2014	SQUIRE S	ANDERS LLP		
23			athan Lane III		
24			ders (US) LLP		
25		San Franci	y Street, Suite 2600 sco, California 94111		
26		Facsimile:	: (415) 954 0200 (415) 393 9887		
27			for Defendant Technologies Displays		
28	CTUDLY ATION AND INDODOCUOUS OPENS	Americas,			
	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE	-3-	No. 07-5944-SC; MDL No. 1917		

STIPULATION AND [<del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE DEADLINE TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO CERTAIN DAP COMPLAINTS

## 

1	Dated: April 25, 2014	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
2		By: /s/ Philip J. Iovieno
3		Philip J. Iovieno Anne M. Nardacci
4		Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP
5		30 South Pearl St., 11th Floor Albany, NY 12207
6		Telephone: (518) 434-0600 Facsimile: (518) 434-0665
7		piovieno@bsfllp.com anardacci@bsfllp.com
8		William Isaacson
9		Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP 5301 Wisconsin Ave. NW, Suite 800
10		Washington, D.C. 20015 Telephone: (202) 237-2727
11		Facsimile: (202) 237-6131 wisaacson@bsfllp.com
12		Stuart Singer
13		Boies, Schiller & Flexner LLP 401 East Las Olas Boulevard, Suite 1200
14		Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301 Telephone: (954) 356-0011
15		Facsimile: (954) 356-0022 ssinger@bsfllp.com
16		Liaison Counsel for Direct Action Plaintiffs
17	D . 1 A . 105 2014	DALL WEIGG DIEWIND WHADON 0
18	Dated: April 25, 2014	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
19		
20		By: <u>/s/ Kenneth A. Gallo</u> Kenneth A. Gallo ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )
21		Joseph J. Simons (pro hac vice) Craig A. Benson (pro hac vice)
22		Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP 2001 K Street, NW
23		Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 223-7300
24		Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 kgallo@paulweiss.com
25		jsimons@paulweiss.com cbenson@paulweiss.com
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND [ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER RE	-4- No. 07-5944-SC; MDL No. 1917

DEADLINE TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO CERTAIN DAP COMPLAINTS

	Case3:07-cv-05944-SC Document2555 Filed04/30/14 Page5 of 5		
1 2 3 4 5	Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346) Taylor & Company Law Offices, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-8200 Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 staylor@paulweiss.com jpatchen@paulweiss.com		
6	Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation and		
7	Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc.		
8	Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this		
9	document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.		
10	Dated: April 25, 2014 /s/ Nathan Lane III		
11	Nathan Lane III		
12	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.		
13 14			
15	Dated: April 30, 2014  Hon. Samuel Conti		
16	United States District Judge		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
77			
<ul><li>27</li><li>28</li></ul>			